Bonne Femme Watershed Plan

February, 2007







Bonne Femme Watershed Plan

Bonne Femme Stakeholder Committee February, 2007

Edited by W. Terry Frueh, Watershed Conservationist Boone County Planning and Building Department

Columbia, Missouri

On the cover: Harvesting photo courtesy of Tim Reinbott, University of Missouri-Columbia Stream monitoring photo courtesy of Jane Ann Travlos Home photo courtesy of Rob Wolverton

> Bonne Femme Watershed Plan Completed by the Bonne Femme Stakeholder Committee February, 2007



Bonne Femme Watershed Project www.CaveWatershed.org



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 7, through the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, has provided partial funding for this project under Section 319 of the Clean Water Act.

The Bonne Femme Watershed Stakeholder Committee would like to dedicate this publication to honor the memory of committee member Donna Dodge.

Donna Dodge was an active, articulate and dedicated member of this committee. Her enthusiasm for the project, her passionate defense of her positions and her educator's background made her a valuable and respected member of our diverse group. Even as she debated a contentious point, her respect for each member's opinion and her positive attitude made us value her words even when we did not agree with her position. She was a friend to all of us and her wisdom, humor and smiles helped us work together.

Donna passed away on Thursday, July 13, 2006. Memories of her beautiful spirit stayed with us as we continued on with our work. She would have been thrilled to see the culmination of this project.

As we move on to the next level in this venture, help us honor Donna's memory by working together to preserve and protect the watershed.

Table of Contents

Foreword	iv
Executive Summary	1
Recommendations	
Chapter 1. Introduction	11
1.a Introduction to the Project.	
1.b Stakeholder–led Planning Methodology	
1.c. Watershed Characteristics	
1.d. Economics	
1.e. Plan Overview	34
Chapter 2. Stakeholder Issues	
2.a. List of Stakeholder Issues	
2.b. Stakeholder Issues - Consolidated Grouping	
Chapter 3. Science in the Watershed	44
3.a Karst Hydrogeology and Soils of the Bonne Femme Watershed	
3.b Cave Life	
3.c Stream Ecology and Use of EPT Insects as Indicators of Water Quality	
3.d Water Quality Monitoring, 2001-2006.	60
3.e Bonne Femme Dye Traces	63
3.f Subwatershed Sensitivity Analysis, a Planning Tool	65
Chapter 4. Watershed Land Use Vision	69
4.a Land Use Vision Purpose	69
4.b Land Use Vision Methodology	69
4.c Vision Statement for the Bonne Femme Watershed	69
Chapter 5. From Vision to Reality	71
5.a Transforming Vision Elements into Achievable Goals	71
5.b Obstacles to Achieving Goals	75
Chapter 6. Watershed Plan Recommendations	
6.a Process for Determining Recommendations	
6.b Recommendations	78
6.c Plan Approval	85
6.d Plan Continuity	85

Appendix A. Clarification of Issues	86
A1. Clarification of Stakeholder Issues	
A2. Clarification of Policy Committee Issues	94
A3. Clarification of Steering Committee Issues	
Appendix B. Glossary	99
Appendix C. References	105
Appendix D. Bonne Femme Watershed Committee Membership	
Appendix E. Valuation of Ecological Services	116
Appendix F. Stakeholder Decision-Making	117
Appendix G. Science	118
G.1 EPT report	118
G.2 Devil's Icebox Cave Branch Biomonitoring	124
G.3 Water Quality Monitoring, 2001-2006	
G.4 Bonne Femme Dye Traces	141
G.5 Subwatershed Sensitivity Analysis, a Planning Tool	147

List of Figures

Figure ES.1 Map of the Bonne Femme Watershed Project Area	3
Figure 1.1 Bonne Femme Watershed Project Area	.13
Figure 1.2 Stonefly nymph	.16
Figure 1.3 Soil associations	.19
Figure 1.4 Prairie burn	.20
Figure 1.5 How karst systems work	.22
Figure 1.6 View from inside Hunter's Cave	.23
Figure 1.7 Pink Planarian	.24
Figure 1.8 Harvesting	.29
Figure 1.9 House under construction	.30
Figure 3.1 Location of Bonne Femme watershed, subwatersheds, and karst recharge areas	.45
Figure 3.2 Land use/land cover for the Bonne Femme watershed	.46
Figure 3.3 Generalized geologic stratigraphy for the Bonne Femme watershed	.48
Figure 3.4 Soil associations	.49
Figure 3.5 Life cycle	.51

Figure 3.6 The Pink Planarian	52
Figure 3.7 Amphipods and habitat	53
Figure 3.8 The semi-aquatic mink	56
Figure 3.9 Aquatic-terrestrial life connections	57
Figure 3.10 Gans Creek	58
Figure 3.11 Bonne Femme watershed monitoring sites	61
Figure 3.12 Devil's Icebox recharge area	64
Figure G.1 Bonne Femme watershed monitoring sites	127
Figure G.2 Percentage of quarters in which state and federal water quality standards fo	r whole
body contact were exceeded	135
Figure G.3 Detection frequency of specific waterborne pathogens in Bonne Femme wa	tershed
	136
Figure G.4 Devil's Icebox recharge area	143
Figure G.5 Dye trace locations	145

List of Tables

Table 1.1 Columbia and Boone County census figures and census forecast	31
Table 1.2 New dwelling units in Bonne Femme Watershed and entire Boone County	31
Table 1.3 Rough population estimates for Bonne Femme (BF) and Boone County (BC), b	based on
new dwelling units	31
Table 1.4 Columbia and Boone County Population and dwelling unit growth project	tions for
2030	32
Table 1.5 Projected dwelling unit growth in Bonne Femme Watershed for 2030	32
Table 5.1 Achievable Goals - Obstacles matrix	77
Table E.1 Ecological valuation of watershed following the methodology of Costanz	za <i>et al</i> .
(1997)	116
Table E.2 Ecological valuation of the watershed following the methodology	of IDC
(1993)	116
Table G.1 X, Y coordinates for the upper and lower ends of the sample reaches	119
Table G.2. Presence/absence of EPT taxa at the eight sites, spring 2006 collections	123
Table G.3 P3 results of Pink Planarian monitoring	126
Table G.4 Devil's Icebox Cave biological sampling	126
Table G.5 General stream water properties by site	128
Table G.6 Average nutrient concentrations by site	130
Table G.7 Average herbicide concentrations by site	132
Table G.8 Average fecal coliform and E. Coli concentrations by site	133
Table G.9 Average fecal coliform and E. Coli concentrations by quarter of the year	138
Table G.10 BMP Summary Implementation and Benefit Table	151

Foreword

Foreword

This watershed plan represents years of planning and hard work by local citizens and governments, as well as state and federal researchers and land managers. In 2001, the directors of the Missouri Departments of Natural Resources and Conservation urged a group of interested local, state and federal employees to form a task force. They were asked to consider specific actions that could be taken to protect the water quality in streams of Southern Boone County with particular interest in public lands and endangered species. The primary recommendation of the task force was to pursue a Nonpoint Source Pollution grant (EPA 319 Program) to acquire funds for the protection of streams in the Bonne Femme watershed through:

- Education
- Disbursal of cost-share funds
- Development of a watershed plan that makes policy recommendations.

By the end of 2001, a proposal had been prepared. The Boone County Commission agreed to sponsor the grant. The proposal was funded, and the project began in Spring 2003. A key aspect of the proposal was the formation of three separate entities: Steering, Policy, and Stakeholder Committees (see Appendix D for a list of each committee's members).

The Steering Committee was composed of members from local, state and federal agencies. Several members were involved in the initial task force that helped to establish the Bonne Femme Watershed Project (BFWP). The primary functions of the Steering Committee included project administration, providing technical assistance to the Stakeholder and Policy Committees, and facilitation of Stakeholder meetings. Other important tasks of the Steering Committee included disbursing cost-share funds, and public outreach and education activities. These activities included annual open houses, newsletters, organization of workshops on low impact development, and two debates on water quality and development issues.

The Steering Committee understood that for a watershed plan to be assured of broad citizen support, it must be developed by the community. Thus, the committee turned the responsibility for developing the plan over to a citizen's group, the Stakeholder Committee. The Stakeholder Committee represented a broad and balanced set of the community's interests. While each individual committee member brought a variety of experience and values to the committee, an attempt was made to have six people representing each of these general interest categories: development, landowner, environmental. The Stakeholders' diversity ensured many perspectives were considered in the planning process. The committee's balance ensured that the plan would represent the values of the community as a whole, and not be skewed toward any particular special interest. The diversity and balance of the Stakeholder committee increased the likelihood that the plan would gain acceptance in the physical, social and economic context of our community. It is important to highlight that the Stakeholders were responsible for the

content of the plan, although the Steering and Policy Committees provided feedback during its development.

The Steering Committee understood that for a plan's recommendations to be enacted, there must be political support. Thus, the committee requested that a group of political decision-makers form the Policy Committee to be involved with the plan. This committee's members represented various local agencies that influence how development occurs. Their initial task was to choose the participants on the Stakeholder Committee, because they knew a broad network of community leaders representing diverse interests. Over the course of the project, the Policy Committee observed the Stakeholders' planning efforts, and offered feedback on strengthening the plan's recommendations. Policy Committee participation will be crucial for the eventual adoption of the plan, since their agencies will be responsible for implementing it. Moreover, individual members will be advocates to their respective agencies, as they move through the adoption process. Representatives on the Policy Committee were chosen by the respective agencies.

The primary goal of the plan is to maintain the health of streams within the Bonne Femme watershed, as it urbanizes. The plan attempts to achieve this goal through the recommendation of specific stream protection policies for local government implementation. In addition, this plan can serve to educate the public about the Bonne Femme watershed.

We want to express our gratitude for all the dedication and thoughtfulness of the Stakeholders. They spent about two and a half years, meeting on a monthly basis, forging a consensus on the plan. The Stakeholders did an excellent job crafting a balanced plan that will further the goals of protecting water quality and maintaining economic vitality for the watershed. We believe that local governments should adopt and implement the policies recommended in this plan.

Sincerely,

The Bonne Femme Watershed Project Steering Committee:

Georganne Bowman, Missouri Department of Natural Resources Roxie Campbell, Rock Bridge Memorial State Park Bill Florea, Boone County Planning and Building Inspection Terry Frueh, Boone County Planning and Building Inspection Robert Lerch, USDA-Agricultural Research Service Scott Schulte, Rock Bridge Memorial State Park (retired) Scott Voney, Missouri Department of Conservation

NOTE ON THE TEXT

Words that are defined in the glossary (Appendix B) appear in *this font.*

The Bonne Femme Watershed Plan is the product of over two and one-half years of work from a group of Stakeholders (see Appendix D for committee membership). The Bonne Femme Policy Committee chose to have a broad and balanced representation on the Stakeholder Committee in order to produce a plan that reflects its representation: broad and balanced. The wide variety of Stakeholders' perspectives ensured that many points of view were considered in the process, and the balanced nature of the committee improved the likelihood it would be unbiased. Local governments will be more likely to adopt the plan if it has support from a wide and balanced range of interests. Although the plan's focus is on protecting and preserving water quality, the Stakeholders wanted to make sure this was accomplished while maintaining economic vitality, and respecting community values.

It should be noted that although the Stakeholders did receive guidance and feedback from the Bonne Femme Steering and Policy Committees, they had the final say on the plan's content. This ensured it was truly a product of citizen involvement, and not one controlled by politicians or by technical staff.

The plan is designed to focus local governments on protecting stream health in the Bonne Femme watershed as it urbanizes (see Figure ES.1, page 3). It provides policy recommendations that, if adopted, will achieve specific goals that enhance the Bonne Femme watershed.

<u>Chapter 1</u> outlines the big picture. It discusses how the plan relates to the Bonne Femme Watershed Project and how the Stakeholders developed the plan. Watershed characteristics (social, physical and biological) are addressed. Finally, economic activity in the watershed is discussed.

<u>Chapter 2</u> outlines issues that Stakeholders wanted to consider during the development of the plan. The issues are listed both in simple form, and also in a consolidated grouping that explains how they are connected to one another.

<u>Chapter 3</u> discusses scientific information considered by the Stakeholders in the planning process. Parts of this chapter focus on previous (and sometimes general) studies, including karst hydrogeology and cave life. Other sections of this chapter discuss work that was completed in relation to the Bonne Femme Watershed Project, including stream life, water quality monitoring, dye tracing, and the Subwatershed Sensitivity Analysis.

<u>Chapter 4</u> covers the Stakeholder vision for land use in the Bonne Femme watershed, including its purpose and how they developed it. The vision statement is detailed, along with the elements that formed its basis.

<u>Stakeholder vision</u> In the year 2030, we envision a watershed where quality of life and economic vitality are fostered by: maintaining or improving the current conditions of the water resources, having a mix of land uses and development types, and maintaining thriving agricultural activities.

<u>Chapter 5</u> discusses how the Stakeholders transformed the vision into achievable goals. The obstacles to achieving these goals are discussed and rated as to their strength (i.e., how much each obstacle might impede achieving the goal).

<u>Chapter 6</u> details how the Stakeholders developed their policy recommendations, lists these recommendations, and discusses how to carry the plan forward.



Figure ES.1 Map of the Bonne Femme Watershed Project Area.

Recommendations

Note: these recommendations are not prioritized.

Recommendations that apply to all goals:

1) It is important to have a follow-up program to assess the effectiveness of plan implementation. This follow-up program includes three aspects:

- Enforcement/inspection will assure that new ordinances are being followed.
- **Maintenance** of new stormwater and sewer infrastructure will be necessary for proper functioning.
- Plan evaluation is key to understanding whether the plan is being followed as intended, and how effective the various measures are. This may include actual stream monitoring, as well as analyzing implementation of the recommendations. Stream monitors must use generally accepted, quantifiable measures of water quality obtained at regular intervals on an ongoing schedule, and the data must be collected by certified entities/persons.

2) Equity: Measures implemented to protect water quality should not unfairly burden individuals. Every effort should be made to create incentive-based programs.

Goal	Strategies	Recommendations
Ensure that	Update 100	Political subdivisions should consider complete hydrologic model-
structures are	year flood-	ing to determine where the 100-year floodplain would be under full
not built in	plain maps	build-out conditions, and locate it more accurately on floodplain
places that will	and	maps. This modeling should be limited to developing areas to keep
flood	regulations	costs down. Allow no construction of structures for occupancy in
		the re-delineated 100-year floodplain.
	Zoning –	Adopt a stream buffer ordinance that limits construction within its
	Streamside	boundaries
	buffer ordi-	
	nance	
	Design	Do not permit new development to increase peak flows downstream
	manual	so that flooding is not exacerbated.
	Purchase	City or County may offer to purchase a structure, at prevailing mar-
	structures that	ket rate, to correct a flooding problem in an existing neighborhood,
	flood now	if the cost of correcting the problem exceeds the value of the struc-
		ture.

Goal	Strategies	Recommendations
Conserve	Design	The level of service (following Columbia's proposed storm-
recharge & karst	manual/	water manual and ordinance) will be more restrictive (e.g. by
areas with special	Performance	one or two points on the level of service scale) in karst and re-
protections	based goals	charge areas than in other areas. Local governments will adopt
		similar, compatible stormwater ordinances and design manuals.
	Zoning	Zoning ordinances will establish specific criteria for develop-
		ment in karst recharge areas. These should include defining
		levels of stormwater quantity and quality, and limiting new
		sanitary sewers to <i>no discharge systems</i> .
	Land purchase	Local governments may purchase land from willing sellers in karst recharge areas, but other options for protecting water qual-
		ity should be explored first. Create management plans for this
		purchased land with a primary goal to protect water quality.
		(Government takings or eminent domain should not be used for
		acquiring land for this purpose)
	TDRs &	Transfer of development rights (TDR) should be established
	conservation	county-wide, with sensitive areas (such as karst recharge areas
	easements	and steep slopes) being primary sending areas. This program
		should enable the cities and the county to have <i>joint program reciprocity</i> . TDR and conservation easements should be eco-
		nomically and logistically feasible options for use by landown- ers and developers.
	Tax relief	Create incentives to encourage conservation in karst recharge areas.
	Zoning and	Consider a plan to provide special protections to karst and re-
	Subdivision	charge areas.
	regulations;	
	Design manual	
	Further	More scientific analysis should be done to delineate further
	scientific study	karst recharge and other environmentally sensitive areas, and
	and monitoring	more definitively identify sources of contamination.

Goal	Strategies	Recommendations
Ensure that	Design	The <i>level of service</i> (following Columbia's proposed stormwater
changes in	manual	ordinance and manual) for stormwater runoff flow characteristics
land use do		post-development shall be no less than pre-development. Similarly,
not increase		stormwater quality should have the same or better characteristics for
downstream		post-development as it had pre-development. Local governments
flooding or		should adopt similar, compatible stormwater ordinances and design
channel in-		manuals.
stability, or	Encourage	Local governments should establish additional zoning and subdivi-
decrease water	low impact	sion regulations that allow LID as a <i>matter of right</i> (i.e., approval
quality	development	will be expedited). This avoids the problems associated with the
	(LID)	planned development process and encourages LID.
	Education	Make new stormwater manuals and ordinances widely available and
		familiar to the public through a public outreach and education effort.
	Develop	New sources of funding should be pursued to assist landowners in
	funding	implementing stream-protection best management practices
	mechanisms	(BMPs). Compile a list of available sources of funding and provide
		to landowners and developers.
	Financing of	Secure sustainable, adequate funding for stormwater programs.
	storm water	
	program	

Goal	Strategies	Recommendations
Encourage	Education	Implement a comprehensive educational program for the general
low impact		public, landowners, and developers to encourage LID.
develop-	Design	Revise local governments' development regulations to promote envi-
ments as a way	manual	ronmentally sensitive design and maintenance.
to maintain or		The level of service (following Columbia's proposed stormwater
improve water		manual and ordinance) will be more restrictive (e.g. by one or two
quality		points on the level of service scale) in susceptible subwatersheds
		(following maps 6.0E, 7.3E and 8.2B of the Subwatershed Sensitiv-
		ity Analysis) than in less susceptible subwatersheds . Local govern-
		ments will adopt similar, compatible stormwater ordinances and
		design manuals.
	Tax relief,	Create economic incentives to encourage developers to implement
	funding,	LID.
	Economic	
	development	

Goal	Strategies	Recommendations
In order to	Land	Provide mechanisms and/or incentives to set aside land in non-
maintain quality	purchase,	LID developments for land to be set aside for parks or green
of life, encourage	Develop	space, especially in conjunction with a stream buffer. Encour-
parks, healthy	funding	age these features in other new, as well as preexisting, neigh-
streams, LID, and	mechanisms,	borhoods.
municipal services.	Economic	
	incentives	

Goal	Strategies	Recommendations
Maintain the	Education	Include information on protecting clean streams in development
economic viability		information distributed by the city and county (through web,
of the community		forms, brochures). Develop a map that shows protected areas
while protecting		and include this in all literature related to development.
clean streams	Design	Local governments should adopt similar, compatible stormwater
	manual	ordinances and design manuals that have stream protection in-
		formation and requirements.
	Zoning	Address zoning where protection is necessary.

Goal	Strategies	Recommendations
Enhance healthy	Education	Make stream protection a central part of park management.
streams in parks		Establish park definitions to include stream protection goals.
		BMPs should be used on property owned by local governments.

Goal	Strategies	Recommendations
Maintain	Design	Give detailed design information to developers and engineers to
clean water	manual	assist them in controlling runoff quality and quantity from develop-
without		ment.
unnecessar-	Zoning	Use voluntary zoning changes to direct density, and therefore higher
ily restricting		runoff, to the most appropriate areas.
property rights	Subdivision	Revise local governments' ordinances and design manuals to enable
	and zoning	reductions in impervious surface by allowing flexibility in street
	regulations	width, sidewalks, etc.
	Education	Expand public education newsletters and mail them more frequently.
	Develop	Secure sustainable public funding for the operation and maintenance
	funding	of BMPs, especially those initially funded by government agencies.
	mechanisms	
	TDRs and	Encourage landowners to use various economic incentives (e.g. con-
	conservation	servation easements and TDR).
	Easements	

Goal	Strategies	Recommendations
Have policies	Zoning	Locate retail, by appropriate zoning, to areas that will allow the
which boost		most efficient use of infrastructure and the least hazard of stream
jobs, retail,		pollution.
tax base, and	Economic	Consider reduction in fees and other expenses paid by developers
local	incentives	of commercial property, in preference to the creation of additional
economics		special transportation districts. For locally-owned businesses, give
		economic incentives to help implement LID.
		Use tax incentives for owners of LID-style commercial/retail struc-
		tures.
	Zoning	Exempt agricultural land from restrictions and stream buffers to
		maintain and enhance maximum economic opportunity for farmers
		and related agricultural activities, as well as to keep land in agricul-
		tural use.

Goal	Strategies	Recommendations
The impacts of up-	Performance	1) Determine baseline conditions for the establishment of moni-
stream	based goals/	toring programs. These conditions should include stream water
urbanization	Design	quality, amount of stormwater discharge, stream cross-sec-
should be	manual	tions.
mitigated to		2) Publicly monitor at specified time periods at specific loca-
prevent increased		tions to determine effectiveness of currently implemented plan.
costs to	Develop	Ensure that local governments provide adequate funding for
agricultural and	funding	their stormwater programs via a stormwater utility fee.
other downstream	mechanisms	
property owners.	TDR &	Use land purchase, TDRs, conservation easements, etc. where
	conservation	applicable to encourage conservation in appropriate areas.
	easements	

Goal	Strategies	Recommendations
Ensure that BMPs	Education	Publicize information on cost-effective BMPs.
do not unreason-	Zoning	Amend zoning regulations to allow for increased density in ex-
ably affect housing		change for improved stormwater quality and quantity manage-
affordability.		ment.

Goal	Strategies	Recommendations
Ensure that	Zoning	Zoning regulations will reflect the sensitivity of the watershed/
certain areas		subwatershed. This will allow for economic growth while pro-
receive special		tecting sensitive subwatersheds.
protections while	Design	Revise local governments' stormwater design manuals with spe-
maintaining the	manual	cific design criteria for sensitive subwatersheds.
economics of		
urbanization.		